

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,620	12/04/2001	Ana M. Rodriguez	GC647-2	3754	
5100	7590 04/23/2003				
	R INTERNATIONAL	•	EXAMINER		
• 925 PAGE M		NT	EPPERSON, JON D		
PALO ALTO	, CA 94304		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1639	1	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	10/008,620		RODRIGUEZ ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner							
File Coly	Jon D Epperson		Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		t with th c	orrespond nce address					
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· _·							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) 1-17 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received:								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/008,620

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1627 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The fax number is (703) 308-4315. A fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. If you have any questions or suggestions please contact Andrew Wang, Supervisory Patent Examiner, at (703) 306-3217. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

Please note: The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to **Group Art Unit 1639**.

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6 and 8-16 drawn to a method of "producing a library of mutant nucleic acid molecules", classified variously in class 536, subclass 25.3; class 435, subclass 91.1, subclass 440+; class 435, DIG 37.
 - II. Claims 7 and 17, drawn to a method further comprising (f) transforming said mutant template nucleic acids from said library into a competent host cell, (g) expressing protein corresponding to said mutant nucleic acids in said host cell, and (h) screening said expressed proteins for desired characteristics, classified variously in class 435, subclass 4, subclass 6, subclass 69.1+, subclass 70.1+, subclass 71.1+, DIG 2+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Application/Control Number: 10/008,620

Art Unit: 1639

Groups I and II represent separate and patentably distinct methods. The methods are distinct because they use different steps, require different reagents and/or will produce different results. In this case, the method of Group II employs an extra steps i.e., (f) transforming said mutant template nucleic acids from said library into a competent host cell, (g) expressing protein corresponding to said mutant nucleic acids in said host cell, and (h) screening said expressed proteins for desired characteristics, which are not required by the method of Group I. As a result, Group II requires different reagents and/or materials (e.g., competent host cells and materials for expression) that are not required by Group I. In addition, since Group II does not utilize the same materials and/or reagents as Group I, Group II will produce different results than Group I in situations where the materials are required. For example, Group II represents a method of use for the nucleic acids that are produced by the method of Group I. However, if applicant were to argue that Groups I and II were somehow related as product and process of use (which is not the case because Groups I and II both represent methods), the inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product(s) of the claimed method (i.e., Group I) can be used in materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)), for example, the products of the method of Group I could be used as nucleic acid probes on a chip i.e., a bio-chip. Therefore, Groups I and II have different issues regarding patentability and enablement and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/008,620 Page 4

Art Unit: 1639

4. These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or divergent subject matter. The different methods and products would require completely different searches in both the patent and non-patent databases, and there is no expectation that the searches would be coextensive. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention for Groups I-II. Election is required as follows.
- 6. If applicant elects the invention of Group I, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Claim 1 is generic.

Subgroup 1: Species of desired protein product (see claims 5, 6)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a <u>single species</u> of desired protein product. Furthermore, applicant <u>must</u> indicate which claims read on the elected species i.e., which claims read <u>only</u> on the elected species of subgroup 1.

7. If applicant elects the invention of Group II, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Claims 7 is generic.

Subgroup 2: Species of competent host cell (see claim 7)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a <u>single species</u> of competent host cell. Furthermore, applicant <u>must</u> indicate which claims read on the elected species i.e., which claims read <u>only</u> on the elected species of subgroup 2.

Subgroup 3: Species of expressed protein (see claim 7)

Application/Control Number: 10/008,620 Page 5

Art Unit: 1639

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a <u>single species</u> of expressed protein. Furthermore, applicant <u>must</u> indicate which claims read on the elected species i.e., which claims read <u>only</u> on the elected species of subgroup 3.

Subgroup 4: Species of desired characteristics (see claim 7)

Applicant must elect, for the purposes of search, a <u>single species</u> of desired characteristics. Furthermore, applicant <u>must</u> indicate which claims read on the elected species i.e., which claims read <u>only</u> on the elected species of subgroup 4.

- 8. <u>Please Note:</u> Applicants must disclose which claims read on the elected species (see paragraphs 12 and 13 below).
- 9. The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made. For different species of method, the method steps for each species would differ. Moreover, the above species can be separately classified. Consequently, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and election for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Art Unit: 1639

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 12. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. *If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.* MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 14. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.43). Because the above restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicants to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.
- 15. Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Application/Control Number: 10/008,620

Art Unit: 1639

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

16. Applicant is also reminded that a 1 – month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory

period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the

merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will

not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP

809.02(a).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jon D Epperson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2423. The

examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2439.

Jon D. Epperson, Ph.D.

April 20, 2003

BENNETT CELSA

Mitten

Page 7